UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

ERICK G. LANDEROS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION AND ORDER 11-CV-4209 (ADS)(ETB)

P.O. THOMAS M. COSTELLO, Shield 1539; P.O. "JOHN" SEMETSIS,

Defendants	S.
 	X

APPEARANCES:

Erick G. Landeros

Plaintiff <u>Pro Se</u> 148 Merrill Street Brentwood, NY 11717

Nassau County Attorney's Office

Attorneys for the Defendants One West Street Mineola, NY 11501

By: Pablo A. Fernandez, Deputy County Attorney, Of Counsel

SPATT, District Judge.

On August 30, 2011, the plaintiff commenced this action against the defendants alleging violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as various state laws, based on their alleged use of excessive force during his arrest on May 5, 2011. In a Report and Recommendation dated February 3, 2012, (the "Report"), United States Magistrate Judge E. Thomas Boyle recommended that this Court dismiss the action without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rules of Procedure 16(f) and 41(b) for the plaintiff's failure to prosecute and to comply with a court order to appear before Judge Boyle on February 2, 2012. To date, there have been no objections filed to the Report.

In reviewing a report and recommendation, a court "may accept, reject, or modify, in

whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C.

§636(b)(1)(C). "To accept the report and recommendation of a magistrate, to which no timely

objection has been made, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the

face of the record." Wilds v. United Parcel Serv., 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)

(citing Nelson v. Smith, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985)). The Court has reviewed

Judge Boyle's Report and finds it to be persuasive and without any legal or factual errors.

There being no objection to Judge Boyle's Report, it is hereby

ORDERED, that Judge Boyle's Report and Recommendation is adopted in its entirety.

The Court dismisses the above-captioned case without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure 16(f) and 41(b), and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

March 22, 2012

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt

ARTHUR D. SPATT

United States District Judge

2